Discussion of Central Bank Reserves and the Balance Sheet of Banks by Hans Gersbach, Jean-Charles Rochet, et Ernst-Ludwig von Thadden

Philippe Bacchetta

U. of Lausanne, Swiss Finance Institute and CEPR

September 29, 2023

Paper Outline

Monetary extension of a real model by the same authors

- Continuous-time, infinite horizon model
- Introduce commercial banks and a central bank
- Focus on interest-bearing reserves at the central bank: hot topic in Switzerland!
- Interesting dynamic model

Basic Strategy

- Analyze the dynamic system
- Stationary solutions may not exist or tend to be unstable
- Stable solutions with a time-varying and binding reserve requirement
- Examine fiscal and monetary policies that give dynamic stability
- Possible to have zero interest rate on reserves, by decreasing taxes on banks and increasing them on households
 - Otherwise the economy is unstable

Intuition

• Aggregate balance sheet of banks:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} p_t A_t & M_t \\ R_t & E_t \end{array}$$

- M_t = household wealth: slow-moving variable
- E_t = bankers wealth: slow-moving variable
- $p_t A_t = \text{capital: slow-moving variable}$
- The difference $p_t A_t M_t E_t$ is slow moving and typically will not converge to the level of reserves R_t
- Solution: set $R_t = M_t + E_t p_t A_t$
 - But parameters must be such that $R_t \ge 0$. Not always a solution
- More technically define $x_t = \frac{p_t A_t}{E_t}$ and $h_t = \frac{M_t}{E_t}$. These two state variables drive the dynamic system

Basic Comment

- Unconventional model with many special assumptions
- Motivation? Why not start from a more standard model?
- What are the benefits of adopting this unconventional model?
- There are costs to that:
 - More difficult to understand what is going on
 - Difficult to evaluate the role of various assumptions and the robustness of the results

- Stationary solutions may not exist
- What does it mean (economically)?
- For example, one condition is the tax rate on bankers wealth is higher than on household wealth
- Would be useful to clarify when there is no solution and why

- Since dynamic stability is key, how sensitive is it to various assumptions?
- For example, production function is assumed to be linear and utility is log
- What would happen with concave production function and non-log utility?
 - Would it be easier to have stability?
 - Would policy implications be different?

- Monetary policy is also very unconventional in the model. Makes difficult to relate to the real world
- Reserves requirement is endogenous and changes continuously: not really a policy variable
- Monetary policy is conducted by setting the interest rate on reserves
- Setting a zero interest rate on reserves is also a change in monetary policy

- What about the ZLB?
- Households only save in bank deposits. No bonds in the model => no portolio reallocation and no liquidity trap
- Banks could also hold bonds
- Model cannot explain increase in reserves at ZLB and drastic change in money multiplier
- Having bonds in the model would allow to have a policy rate that is different from the rate on reserves
- Extension with bonds is considered in the Appendix, but these issues do not appear to be adressed

Reserves and Money in Switerland



() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

10/12

э

- The wording on banks is confusing and is not related to equations of the model
- banks can create new deposits to acquire more goods at any time, which represents the banks' privilege to create money "out of thin air"
- the amount of deposits ... are exogenous to bank I since there are deposits received from other banks in the payment process.
- Where do we see this in the equations of the model?
- In equilibrium, aggregate deposits are given by household wealth H_t

4 1 1 4 1 1 1

Conclusion

- The role of reserves at the central bank and their remuneration is important
- The model is interesting, but very special
- There are costs and benefits of using an unconventional model
- I have outlined some of the costs
- The authors should convince us of the benefits of using their model